1

PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

PCT

Y REC'D	0 5	AUG	2005
WIPO			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or a PF14J950	gent's file re	ference	FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. International filing of PCT/JP2004/004928 05.04.2004		International filing date (05.04.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15.04.2003	
International Pa F01L1/34	atent Classif	ication (IPC) or n	ational classification and IF	PC	
Applicant TOYOTA JI	DOSHA K	ABUSHIKI K	AISHA et al.		
1. This rep	oort is the i ty under Ar	nternational proticle 35 and tra	eliminary examination re insmitted to the applican	port, established by t according to Artic	v this International Preliminary Examining le 36.
2. This RE	EPORT cor	nsists of a total	of 7 sheets, including the	nis cover sheet.	
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
a. 🛚	sent to the	applicant and	to the International Bure	au) a total of Ishee	ts, as follows:
	and/or	of the descrip sheets contair Istrative Instruc	ling rectifications author	ngs which have bee zed by this Authorit	en amended and are the basis of this report y (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. □		listing andbrits	Bureau only) a total of (interection in the last of th	computer readable i	Imber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a form only, as indicated in the Supplemental tive Instructions).
4. This re	port conta	ins indications	relating to the following	items:	
⊠ Bo	x No. I	Basis of the o	pinion		
□ Во	x No. II	Priority			
□ Во	x No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability
□ Во	x No. IV	Lack of unity			
⊠ Bo	- and the second of the second of the second to personal to prove the inventive etch or industrial				
☐ Bo	x No. VI	Certain docur			
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
⊠ Bo	x No. VIII	Certain obser	vations on the internation	nal application	
Date of subm	nission of the	e demand		Date of completion	n of this report
14.02.2005				08.08.2005	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswljk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016				Authorized Office	gerteins Peissen.
			s Bas	Paquay, J	N 70 240 2044
				Telephone No. +3	51 /U 34U-3944 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/004928

		_				
_	Box No. I Basis of the report					
	With regard to the language, this filed, unless otherwise indicated u	regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	☐ This report is based on trans which is the language of a tra	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:				
	☐ international search (under publication of the international preliminary expressions)	er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
2.	With regard to the elements* of the have been furnished to the receive report as "originally filed" and are	the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this on not annexed to this report)</i> :				
	Description, Pages					
	1-29	as originally filed				
	Claims, Numbers					
	2-15	as originally filed				
	1	received on 14.04.2005 with letter of 14.04.2005				
	Drawings, Sheets					
	1/7-7/7	as originally filed				
	☐ a sequence listing and/or ar	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
3	3. The amendments have res	ulted in the cancellation of:				
	the description, pages					
	the claims, Nos.the drawings, sheets/figs	\$				
	☐ the sequence listing (sp	ecify):				
	☐ any table(s) related to s					
4	 This report has been estab had not been made, since they Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c) 	lished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the)).				
	☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/fig					
	☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s	sequence listing (specify):				
	* If item 4 applies, s	some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/004928

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No:

1-15

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-15

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/JP2004/004928

Re Item V

- Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
 - 1 Reference is made to the following document/s/: D1: US-A-6 079 381 (MORIKAWA JUNYA) 27 June 2000 (2000-06-27)
 - To independent claim 1: Document D1, which is considered to represent the most 2 relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): An abnormality diagnosis apparatus (column 1, lines 34-41) that diagnoses an abnormality of an adjustable valve mechanism (50), which varies a moving characteristic of a valve in an internal combustion engine (10), said abnormality diagnosis device comprising: an input control signal module that inputs a control signal (column 4, lines 14-20, the solenoid 41 gets an input from step 108) for varying the moving characteristic of the valve; a theoretical value computation module that calculates (column 6, line 9-21) a theoretical value of a parameter relating to the moving characteristic of the valve, which is varied by the adjustable valve mechanism (50), in response to the input control signal (column 6, lines 15-17, values of the intake-air quantity and the engine speed); an observed value detection module (crank position sensor 21 and cam position sensor 22) that detects an observed value of the parameter relating to the moving characteristic of the valve, which is varied by the adjustable valve mechanism (50), in response to the input control signal; and an abnormality detection module (column 4, lines 46-54) that determines whether the adjustable valve mechanism is abnormal or normal, based on the theoretical value and the observed value.

Document D1 does not mention that the theoretical value computation module computes a physical behaviour of the adjustable valve mechanism according to a physical model. Instead of this physical model, document D1 mentions (in column 6, lines 9-21) that a map is used to determine the theoretical value. In view of this difference, the subject-matter of the first claim is new (Article 33(2)PCT).

The use of a physical model requires different soft- and hardware (among others in

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/JP2004/004928

- view of computing power) compared to the use of a map. Therefore, the subject-matter of the first claim is not only new, but also inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 1.2 To independent claim 6: The difference with the subject-matter of claim 6 and the content of document D1 is, that document D1 does not mention anything about the pressure difference between the two pressure chambers. In view of this difference, the subject-matter of the independent claim 6 is new. Computing of the pressure differences requires an entire new control strategy and the thereto related software. As this will be a quite complex operation, the subject-matter of the independent claim 6 is considered to be inventive too (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 1.3 To the independent claims 13 and 14: Like in claim 1, the difference between the content of document D1 and the subject-matter of claims 13 and 14 consists of the "Physical model". For the same reasoning as for claim 1 (in point 1.1 of this communication), the subject-matter of the independent claims 13 and 14 is new and inventive (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 1.4 To independent claim 15: Like in claim 6, the difference between the content of document D1 and the subject-matter of claim 15 consists of the "pressure difference". For the same reasoning as for claim 6 (in point 1.2 of this communication), the subject-matter of independent claims 15 is new and inventive (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- To the claims 1, 6, 13, 14 and 15: Independent claims 1, 6, 13, 14 and 15 are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterizing part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 2 To the claims 2-15: The features of the claims 2-15 are not provided with reference

PCT/JP2004/004928

signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

3 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1, 3, 6, 10, 13-15 are not clear.

To the claims 1, 6 and 13: Although these apparatus claims 1, 6 and 13 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

About the claims 3 and 10: The claims 3 and 10 mention that in the model "...a rotational motion of the hydraulic vane corresponds to a translational motion of a piston". For a man skilled in the art it is not clear from the claim or the description how to implement this feature into the abnormality diagnosis apparatus. On top of that, a man skilled in the art knows that the hydraulic vane can be rotated independently from the crankshaft and thus from the piston. In view of all this, the subject-matter of the claims 3 and 10 is not clear (Article 6 PCT).

To the claims 14 and 15: Although these method claims 14 and 15 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/004928

5

15

20

25

Enclosure of April 14, 2005
International Patent Application No.: PCT/JP2004/004928
Applicant: TOYOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI KAISHA
Our ref: EP 44249

New claim 1

1. An abnormality diagnosis apparatus (100) that diagnoses an abnormality of an adjustable valve mechanism (120), which varies a moving characteristic of a valve (16) in an internal combustion engine, said abnormality diagnosis device (100) comprising:

an input control signal module that inputs a control signal (step S10) for varying the moving characteristic of the valve (16);

a theoretical value computation module (140) that computes a physical behaviour of the adjustable valve mechanism (120) according to a physical model provided to simulate the physical behaviour of the adjustable valve mechanism (120) and thereby calculates a theoretical value of a parameter relating to the moving characteristic of the valve (16), which is varied by the adjustable valve mechanism (120), based on the input control signal;

an observed value detection module (130) that detects an observed value of the parameter relating to the moving characteristic of the valve (16), which is varied by the adjustable valve mechanism (120), in response to the input control signal; and

an abnormality detection module (150) that determines whether the adjustable valve mechanism is abnormal or normal, based on the theoretical value and the observed value.